

2008-09

11-7-08

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION San Marino Unified School District		COUNTY Los Angeles	
SCHOOL SITE Carver Elementary School		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) K-5	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE 36
INSPECTOR'S NAME Gerald Schober	INSPECTOR'S TITLE Construction Manager	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE) Julie Boucher	
TIME OF INSPECTION 8:00 a.m.	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION Sunny and clear		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	SECTION 4	SECTION 5	SECTION 6	SECTION 7	SECTION 8	SECTION 9	SECTION 10	SECTION 11	SECTION 12	SECTION 13	SECTION 14	SECTION 15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/DOORS/GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOMS	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
↓	Number of "Y"s:	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	Number of "D"s:															
10	Number of "X"s:															
	Number of NAs:															
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "Y"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)																
Rank (Circle one) Good = 85%-100% Fair = 67%-84.99% Poor = 0%-66.99%		GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR

Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Percent of System in Good Repair".

OVERALL RATING: **DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 15 CATEGORIES ABOVE** → 100% **SCHOOL RATING*** → Exemplary

*For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
98%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	Exemplary
85%-97.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	Good
67%-84.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	Fair
0%-66.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	Poor

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: All buildings and systems are in good working order.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: Valentine Elementary School

Page 1 of 2

AREA \ CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUNDS/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. E	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: Valentine Elementary School

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. F2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. G	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. H	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. K	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. L	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

2008-09

11/7/2008

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION San Marino Unified School District		COUNTY Los Angeles	
SCHOOL SITE Valentine Elementary School		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) K-5	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE 40
INSPECTOR'S NAME Gerald Schober	INSPECTOR'S TITLE Construction Manager	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE) Julie Boucher	
TIME OF INSPECTION 10:00 a.m.	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION Sunny and clear		

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	SECTION 4	SECTION 5	SECTION 6	SECTION 7	SECTION 8	SECTION 9	SECTION 10	SECTION 11	SECTION 12	SECTION 13	SECTION 14	SECTION 15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/DOORS/GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOMS	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
21	Number of "V"s:	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
	Number of "D"s:															
	Number of "X"s:															
	Number of NAs:															
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "V"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)																
Rank (Circle one) Good = 85%-100% Fair = 67%-84.99% Poor = 0%-66.99%		GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR

Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Percent of System in Good Repair".

OVERALL RATING: DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 15 CATEGORIES ABOVE → 100% SCHOOL RATING → Exemplary

*For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
98%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	Exemplary
85%-97.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	Good
67%-84.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	Fair
0%-66.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	Poor

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: All buildings and systems are in good working order.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: Valentine Elementary School

Page 1 of 2

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. E	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: Valentine Elementary School

Page 2 of 2

AREA \ CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. F2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. G	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. H	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J3	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. K	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. L	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

2008-09

11-7-08

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION San Marino Unified School District		COUNTY Los Angeles
SCHOOL SITE Huntington Middle School		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) 6th - 8th grade
		NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE 40
INSPECTOR'S NAME Gerald Schober	INSPECTOR'S TITLE Construction Manager	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE) Julie Boucher
TIME OF INSPECTION 4:00 p.m.	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION Sunny and clear	

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	SECTION 4	SECTION 5	SECTION 6	SECTION 7	SECTION 8	SECTION 9	SECTION 10	SECTION 11	SECTION 12	SECTION 13	SECTION 14	SECTION 15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/DOORS/GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOMS	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
↓	Number of "V"s:	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	Number of "D"s:															
19	Number of "X"s:															
	Number of N/As:															
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "V"s divided by (Total Areas - "NA"s)																
Rank (Circle one) Good = 85%-100% Fair = 67%-84.99% Poor = 0%-66.99%		GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR

Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Percent of System in Good Repair".

OVERALL RATING: DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 15 CATEGORIES ABOVE → 100% **SCHOOL RATING** → Exemplary

*For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
98%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	Exemplary
85%-97.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	Good
67%-84.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	Fair
0%-66.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	Poor

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: All buildings and systems are in good working order.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: **09/30/08**

School Name: **Huntington Middle School**

Page 1 of 2

AREA \ CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. A1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. B1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. B2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. E	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. G	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. H1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: Huntington Middle School

Page 2 of 2

AREA \ CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUNDS/ SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. H2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. I1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. I2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. L2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. N	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. O	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. P	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

2008-09

11-7-08

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION San Marino Unified School District		COUNTY Los Angeles
SCHOOL SITE San Marino High School		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) 9th - 12th grade
		NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE 62
INSPECTOR'S NAME Gerald Schober	INSPECTOR'S TITLE Construction Manager	NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE) Julie Boucher
TIME OF INSPECTION 1:00 p.m.	WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION Sunny and clear	

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	SECTION 4	SECTION 5	SECTION 6	SECTION 7	SECTION 8	SECTION 9	SECTION 10	SECTION 11	SECTION 12	SECTION 13	SECTION 14	SECTION 15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/DOORS/GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOMS	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
↓	Number of "✓"'s:	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
	Number of "D"'s:															
16	Number of "X"'s:															
	Number of "N/A"'s:															
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "✓"'s divided by (Total Areas - "N/A"'s)																
Rank (Circle one) Good = 85%-100% Fair = 67%-84.99% Poor = 0%-66.99%		GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR

Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Percent of System in Good Repair".

OVERALL RATING: DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 15 CATEGORIES ABOVE → 100% SCHOOL RATING* → Exemplary

*For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
98%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	Exemplary
85%-97.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	Good
67%-84.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	Fair
0%-66.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	Poor

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: All buildings and systems are in good working order.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: San Marino High School

Page 1 of 2

AREA \ CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. B	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. F	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. G	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. H	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. I	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. J	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. K	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. L1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. L2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. M	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. N	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: San Marino High School

Page 2 of 2

AREA \ CATEGORY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
<i>Bldg. P</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
<i>Bldg. S</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
<i>Bldg. V</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
<i>Bldg. W</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
<i>Art Gallery</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.

2008-09

11/7/2008

Page 6 of 6

SCHOOL, DISTRICT/COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION San Marino Unified School District		COUNTY Los Angeles	
SCHOOL SITE Stoneman School		SCHOOL TYPE (GRADE LEVELS) Special Education	NUMBER OF CLASSROOMS ON SITE 15
INSPECTOR'S TITLE Gerald Schober		NAME OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE ACCOMPANYING THE INSPECTOR(S) (IF APPLICABLE) Julie Boucher	
WEATHER CONDITION AT TIME OF INSPECTION 5:30 p.m.		Sunny and clear	

PART III: CATEGORY TOTALS AND RANKING

TOTAL NUMBER OF AREAS EVALUATED	CATEGORY TOTALS	SECTION 1	SECTION 2	SECTION 3	SECTION 4	SECTION 5	SECTION 6	SECTION 7	SECTION 8	SECTION 9	SECTION 10	SECTION 11	SECTION 12	SECTION 13	SECTION 14	SECTION 15
		GAS LEAKS	MECH/IVAC	WINDOWS/DOORS/GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOMS	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/SCHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
↓	Number of "V's"	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	Number of "D's"															
8	Number of "X's"															
	Number of NAs															
Percent of System in Good Repair Number of "V's" divided by (Total Areas - "NA's")																
Rank (Circle one) Good = 85%-100% Fair = 67%-84.99% Poor = 0%-66.99%		GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR	GOOD FAIR POOR

Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category and a zero for "Percent of System in Good Repair".

OVERALL RATING: DETERMINE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF 15 CATEGORIES ABOVE → 100% SCHOOL RATING* → Exemplary

*For School Rating, apply the Percentage Range below to the average percentage determined above, taking into account the rating Description below.

PERCENTAGE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
98%-100%	The school meets most or all standards of good repair. Deficiencies noted, if any, are not significant and/or impact a very small area of the school.	Exemplary
85%-97.99%	The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.	Good
67%-84.99%	The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.	Fair
0%-66.99%	The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.	Poor

COMMENTS AND RATING EXPLANATION: All buildings and systems are in good working order.

PART II: EVALUATION DETAIL

Date of Inspection: 09/30/08

School Name: Stoneman School

Page 1 of 1

CATEGORY AREA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	GAS LEAKS	MECH/HVAC	WINDOWS/ DOORS/ GATES/FENCES	INTERIOR SURFACES	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	STRUCTURAL DAMAGE	FIRE SAFETY	ELECTRICAL	PEST/VERMIN INFESTATION	DRINKING FOUNTAINS	RESTROOM	SEWER	ROOFS	PLAYGROUND/S CHOOL GROUNDS	OVERALL CLEANLINESS
Bldg. A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. B1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. B2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. C2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
Bldg. D2	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														
	COMMENTS:														

Marks: ✓ = Good Repair (When filling up the electronic version, please use **ctrl+G**); D = Deficiency; X = Extreme Deficiency; NA = Not Applicable
 Use additional sheets as necessary.